

K-Bessel functions associated to 3-rank Jordan algebra.

HACEN DIB

Department of Mathematics, University of Tlemcen

B.P. 119 Tlemcen 13000, ALGERIA

e-mail : h_dib@mail.com

Abstract

Using Bessel-Muirhead system, we can express the K-bessel function defined on a Jordan algebra as linear combination of the J-solutions. We determine explicitly the coefficients when the rank of this Jordan algebra is three after a reduction to the rank two. The main tools are some algebraic identities developed for the occasion.

Keywords : Hypergeometric functions, Bessel functions, Muirhead systems, Jordan algebra.

AMS Subject Classification : 33C20, 33C50, 33C70, 33C80.

1 Introduction

In [1] we solved the Bessel-Muirhead system of rank 2 and 3 and expressed, in the rank 2 case, the K-bessel function as linear combination of the J-solutions with explicit coefficients. In this paper we continue our work and prove that similar result is also true when the Jordan algebra is of rank 3. In this case there is four non-equivalent classes of simple euclidean Jordan algebra and in [1] we intended to perform case-by-case calculation. In this way, a serious difficulty arise in the evaluation of some integral over the automorphism group of the Jordan algebra. However, a unified treatment is possible by a reduction to the rank 2 case using some algebraic identities. This what we will present here. Let us recall the situation and some results in [1].

Definition 1 *Bessel-Muirhead operators are defined by*

$$B_i = x_i \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} + (\nu + 1) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + 1 + \frac{d}{2} \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{1}{x_i - x_j} (x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} - x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}), \quad 1 \leq i \leq r \quad (1)$$

r is the rank of the system. A symmetric function *f* is said to be a Bessel function if it is a solution of $B_i f = 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, r$.

Denote by $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_r$ the elementary symmetric functions , i.e.

$$t_p = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \dots \leq i_p \leq r} x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \dots x_{i_p} \quad (2)$$

with $t_0 = 1$ and $t_p = 0$ if $p < 0$ or $p > r$. The Bessel-Muirhead system is then equivalent to the system (see [6],[2]) $Z_k g = 0$, $1 \leq k \leq r$ where

$$Z_k = \sum_{i,j=1}^r A_{ij}^k \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t_i \partial t_j} + (\nu + 1 + \frac{r-k}{2}d) \frac{\partial}{\partial t_k} + \delta_k^1 \quad (3)$$

and

$$A_{ij}^k = \begin{cases} t_{i+j-k} & \text{if } i, j \geq k \\ -t_{i+j-k} & \text{if } i, j < k \text{ and } i+j \geq k \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere} \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

Here δ_k^1 is the Kronecker symbol and $g(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_r) = f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r)$. When $r = 2$, we have (see [1]) a fundamental set of solutions given by $J_\nu^{[2,1]}$, $J_\nu^{[2,2]}$, $t_2^{-\nu} J_{-\nu}^{[2,1]}$ and $t_2^{-\nu} J_{-\nu}^{[2,2]}$ where :

$$J_\nu^{[2,1]}(t_1, t_2) = \sum_{m_1, m_2 \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^{m_1}}{(1)_{m_1} (1)_{m_2} (1+\nu)_{m_2} (1+\nu+\frac{d}{2})_{m_1+2m_2}} t_1^{m_1} t_2^{m_2} \quad (5)$$

and

$$J_\nu^{[2,2]}(t_1, t_2) = \sum_{m_1, m_2 \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^{m_1}}{(1-\nu-d/2)_{m_1} (1)_{m_2} (1+\nu)_{m_2} (1)_{m_1+2m_2}} t_1^{m_1-\nu-d/2} t_2^{m_2} \quad (6)$$

Here $(a)_k$ is the classical Pochhammer symbol i.e., $(a)_k = a(a+1) \dots (a+k-1)$. In this case the K-Bessel function (in two variables) can be written as follows :

$$\begin{aligned} K_\nu^{[2]} &= (2\pi)^{(n-2)/2} \Gamma(-\nu) \Gamma(-\nu - \frac{n-2}{2}) J_\nu^{[2,1]} + (2\pi)^{(n-2)/2} \Gamma(\nu) \Gamma(\nu - \frac{n-2}{2}) t_2^{-\nu} J_{-\nu}^{[2,1]} \\ &\quad + (2\pi)^{(n-2)/2} \Gamma(-\nu) \Gamma(\nu + \frac{n-2}{2}) J_\nu^{[2,2]} + (2\pi)^{(n-2)/2} \Gamma(\nu) \Gamma(-\nu + \frac{n-2}{2}) t_2^{-\nu} J_{-\nu}^{[2,2]} \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Observe that this expression reduce (up to constant factor) to the classical one variable formula when $t_2 = 0$ and generic ν . Now, for $r = 3$ we have eight linearly independant J-solutions $J_\nu^{[3,1]}$, $J_\nu^{[3,2]}$, $J_\nu^{[3,3]}$, $J_\nu^{[3,4]}$, $t_3^{-\nu} J_{-\nu}^{[3,1]}$, $t_3^{-\nu} J_{-\nu}^{[3,2]}$, $t_3^{-\nu} J_{-\nu}^{[3,3]}$ and $t_3^{-\nu} J_{-\nu}^{[3,4]}$ where :

$$\begin{aligned} J_\nu^{[3,1]}(t_1, t_2, t_3) &= \sum_{m_1, m_2, m_3 \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^{m_1+m_3}}{(1)_{m_1} (1)_{m_2} (1)_{m_3} (1+\nu)_{m_3} (1+\nu+\frac{d}{2})_{m_2+2m_3}} \times \\ &\quad \times \frac{(1+2\nu+d)_{m_1+2m_2+4m_3}}{(1+\nu+d)_{m_1+2m_2+3m_3} (1+2\nu+d)_{m_1+2m_2+3m_3}} t_1^{m_1} t_2^{m_2} t_3^{m_3} \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

$$\begin{aligned} J_\nu^{[3,2]}(t_1, t_2, t_3) &= \sum_{m_1, m_2, m_3 \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^{m_1+m_3}}{(1-\nu-d)_{m_1} (1)_{m_2} (1)_{m_3} (1+\nu)_{m_3} (1+\nu+\frac{d}{2})_{m_2+2m_3}} \times \\ &\quad \times \frac{(1+\nu)_{m_1+2m_2+4m_3}}{(1)_{m_1+2m_2+3m_3} (1+\nu)_{m_1+2m_2+3m_3}} t_1^{m_1-\nu-d} t_2^{m_2} t_3^{m_3} \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

$$J_\nu^{[3,3]}(t_1, t_2, t_3) = \sum_{m_1, m_2, m_3 \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^{m_1+m_3}}{(1)_{m_1}(1-\nu-d/2)_{m_2}(1)_{m_3}(1+\nu)_{m_3}(1)_{m_2+2m_3}} \times \quad (10)$$

$$\times \frac{(1)_{m_1+2m_2+4m_3}}{(1-\nu)_{m_1+2m_2+3m_3}(1)_{m_1+2m_2+3m_3}} t_1^{m_1} t_2^{m_2-\nu-d/2} t_3^{m_3}$$

$$J_\nu^{[3,4]}(t_1, t_2, t_3) = \sum_{m_1, m_2, m_3 \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^{m_1+m_3}}{(1+\nu)_{m_1}(1-\nu-d/2)_{m_2}(1)_{m_3}(1+\nu)_{m_3}(1)_{m_2+2m_3}} \times \quad (11)$$

$$\times \frac{(1+\nu)_{m_1+2m_2+4m_3}}{(1)_{m_1+2m_2+3m_3}(1+\nu)_{m_1+2m_2+3m_3}} t_1^{m_1+\nu} t_2^{m_2-\nu-d/2} t_3^{m_3}$$

Observe also that when $t_3 = 0$ (and ν generic) these functions reduce to :

$$J_\nu^{[3,1]}(t_1, t_2, 0) = J_{\nu+d/2}^{[2,1]}(t_1, t_2) \quad (12)$$

$$J_\nu^{[3,2]}(t_1, t_2, 0) = J_{\nu+d/2}^{[2,2]}(t_1, t_2) \quad (13)$$

$$J_\nu^{[3,3]}(t_1, t_2, 0) = t_2^{-\nu-d/2} J_{-\nu-d/2}^{[2,1]}(t_1, t_2) \quad (14)$$

$$J_\nu^{[3,4]}(t_1, t_2, 0) = t_2^{-\nu-d/2} J_{-\nu-d/2}^{[2,2]}(t_1, t_2) \quad (15)$$

2 Some algebraic identities

For the general theory of Jordan algebra one can see [3], but what we will develop is somehow specific to the rank three. So, let A a real simple and euclidean Jordan algebra with rank 3 and dimension n . We know that $n = 3 + 3d$ where $d = 1, 2, 4$ or 8 . Let $\{c_1, c_2, c_3\}$ be a complete system of mutually orthogonal primitive idempotents i.e, $c_i c_j = \delta_i^j c_i$, $c_1 + c_2 + c_3 = e$ the unit of A and none of the c_j 's can split into a sum of two idempotents. We have a Cayley-Hamilton like theorem: $x^3 - a_1(x)x^2 + a_2(x)x - a_3(x)e = 0$ and a spectral decomposition: $x = k.(\lambda_1 c_1 + \lambda_2 c_2 + \lambda_3 c_3)$ with k an element of the automorphism group of A and λ_i reals such that:

$$\begin{aligned} a_1(x) &= \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 := tr(x) \\ a_3(x) &= \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 := \det(x) \text{ and} \\ a_2(x) &= \lambda_1 \lambda_2 + \lambda_1 \lambda_3 + \lambda_2 \lambda_3 = \frac{1}{2} [tr(x)^2 - tr(x^2)] \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

The inner product is defined then by: $(x, y) := tr(xy)$. The operators $L(x)$ and $P(x)$ are defined by $L(x)y = xy$ and $P(x) = 2L^2(x) - L(x^2)$. Let us consider the Peirce decomposition with respect to the idempotent c_3 i.e, $A = A_0 \oplus A_{1/2} \oplus A_1$ where A_α is the eigenspace of $L(c_3)$ with respect to the eigenvalue α . A_0 and A_1 are Jordan subalgebras of rank 2 and 1 respectively,

and $A_{1/2}$ is a subspace of dimension $2d$. Put $n_0 = \dim A_0 = 2 + d$ and $e_0 = c_1 + c_2$ the unit of A_0 . We have $A_0 A_1 = \{0\}$, $(A_0 \oplus A_1) A_{1/2} \subset A_{1/2}$ and $A_{1/2} A_{1/2} \subset A_0 \oplus A_1$. If we write $tr(z)$ or $\det(z)$ of an element of A_0 this will mean trace and determinant with respect to the subalgebra A_0 . We denote by Ω_3 the cone of positivity of A i.e, $\Omega_3 = \{x \in A / \lambda_i > 0, i = 1, 2, 3\} = \{x \in A / a_i(x) > 0, i = 1, 2, 3\}$ and by Ω_2 the cone of A_0 . Every x in Ω_3 (resp. in Ω_2) admit a unique square root in Ω_3 (resp. in Ω_2) and is invertible.

Lemma 1 For $y = e_0 + \xi + tc_3$ with $\xi \in A_{1/2}$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\det(y) = t - \frac{1}{2} \|\xi\|^2 \quad (17)$$

proof : The projection onto A_1 is $P(c_3)$, so $P(c_3)\xi = 0$ and therefore $0 = tr(P(c_3)\xi) = (e, P(c_3)\xi) = (c_3, \xi) = \frac{1}{2} tr(\xi)$. By the same argument $tr(\xi^3) = 0$. Now by Cayley-Hamilton $\xi^3 + a_2(\xi)\xi - \det(\xi)e = 0$ which implies $\det(\xi) = 0$. On the other hand $\xi^2 = u + \tau c_3$ with $u \in A_0$ and $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$. We have $\tau = (c_3, \xi^2) = (\xi c_3, \xi) = \frac{1}{2} \|\xi\|^2$ and $tr(u) = \frac{1}{2} \|\xi\|^2$. From $\det(\xi^2) = \tau \det(u)$ we deduce that $\det(u) = 0$. So by Cayley-Hamilton (in A_0) we can write $u^2 = \frac{1}{2} \|\xi\|^2 u$. Now $\xi^2 = u + \tau c_3 \Rightarrow \xi^4 = u^2 + \tau^2 c_3 = \frac{1}{2} \|\xi\|^2 u + \frac{1}{4} \|\xi\|^4 c_3 = \frac{1}{2} \|\xi\|^2 \xi^2$ and then $\xi^3 = \frac{1}{2} \|\xi\|^2 \xi$. Therefore $u\xi = \frac{1}{4} \|\xi\|^2 \xi$. Then we have :

$$y = e_0 + \xi + tc_3$$

$$y^2 = (e_0 + u) + (1 + t)\xi + \left(t^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\xi\|^2\right) c_3$$

$$y^3 = (e_0 + (2 + t)u) + \left(1 + t + t^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\xi\|^2\right) \xi + \left(t^2 + t \|\xi\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\xi\|^2\right) c_3$$

and also $tr(y) = 2 + t$, $a_2(y) = \frac{1}{2} [tr(y)^2 - tr(y^2)] = 1 + 2t - \frac{1}{2} \|\xi\|^2$. The result is a consequence of

$$y^3 - tr(y)y^2 + a_2(y)y = \left(t - \frac{1}{2} \|\xi\|^2\right) e$$

Lemma 2 If $y = z + \xi + tc_3$ with $z \in \Omega_2$, $\xi \in A_{1/2}$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\det(y) = \det(z) \left[t - (z^{-1}\xi, \xi) \right] \quad (18)$$

proof : The application

$$\begin{aligned} \rho & : A_0 \longrightarrow \text{End}(A_{1/2}) \\ u & \longrightarrow \rho(u) \text{ defined by } \rho(u)\xi = 2L(u)\xi \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

is a representation of A_0 in the space $A_{1/2}$ (for more details see [3]). This mean that $2\rho(uv) = \rho(u)\rho(v) + \rho(v)\rho(u)$ and identically $P(u)\xi = 0$. So

$$\begin{aligned} P(u + c_3)y &= P(u + c_3)z + P(u + c_3)\xi + tP(u + c_3)c_3 \\ &= P(u)z + 2L(u)\xi + tc_3 \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Now if $u = z^{-1/2}$ we derive the desired result thanks to (17) and the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} \det [P(z^{-1/2} + c_3)y] &= \det(z^{-1/2} + c_3)^2 \det(y) \\ &= \det(z^{-1}) \det(y) \\ &= \det(z)^{-1} \det(y) \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Corollary 1 *If $y = z + \xi + tc_3 \in \Omega_3$, then :*

$$\text{tr} (y^{-1}) = \frac{2 \det(z) + 2t \text{tr}(z) - \|\xi\|^2}{2 \det(z) [t - (z^{-1}\xi, \xi)]} \quad (22)$$

proof : first we have $y^3 - \text{tr}(y)y^2 + a_2(y)y - \det(y)e = 0$. Then

$$y^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det(y)} [y^2 - \text{tr}(y)y + a_2(y)e]$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr} (y^{-1}) &= \frac{1}{\det(y)} [\text{tr} (y^2) - \text{tr}(y)^2 + 3a_2(y)] \\ &= \frac{1}{2 \det(y)} [\text{tr}(y)^2 - \text{tr} (y^2)] \\ &= \frac{1}{2 \det(y)} [\text{tr}(z)^2 + 2t \text{tr}(z) - \text{tr}(z^2) - \|\xi\|^2] \\ &= \frac{1}{2 \det(y)} [2 \det(z) + 2t \text{tr}(z) - \|\xi\|^2] \end{aligned}$$

3 K-Bessel function

The K-Bessel function is defined by (see [2],[3])

$$K_\nu^{[3]}(x) = \int_{\Omega_3} e^{-\text{tr}(y^{-1}) - (x,y)} (\det y)^{\nu - \frac{n}{3}} dy \quad (23)$$

After a change of variable, one can show that

$$K_\nu^{[3]}(x) = (\det x)^{-\nu} K_{-\nu}^{[3]}(x) \quad (24)$$

Following [2] where it is proved that $K_\nu^{[3]}$ is a solution of a differential system similar to (1), we can write

$$K_\nu^{[3]}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^4 a_\nu^j J_\nu^{[3,j]} + b_\nu^j t_3^{-\nu} J_{-\nu}^{[3,j]} \quad (25)$$

According to (24) we have : $a_\nu^j = b_{-\nu}^j$ for $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$. For suitable ν , the following limit holds (see [3] for more information on Γ_{Ω_3} , the gamma function of the cone Ω_3) :

$$\lim_{\substack{x \rightarrow 0 \\ x \in \Omega_3}} K_\nu^{[3]}(x) = \Gamma_{\Omega_3}(-\nu) = (2\pi)^{\frac{3d}{2}} \Gamma(-\nu) \Gamma(-\nu - \frac{d}{2}) \Gamma(-\nu - d) \quad (26)$$

so

$$a_\nu^1 = b_{-\nu}^1 = (2\pi)^{\frac{3d}{2}} \Gamma(-\nu) \Gamma(-\nu - \frac{d}{2}) \Gamma(-\nu - d) \quad (27)$$

according to the behaviour of the solutions $J_\nu^{[3,j]}$. To determine the other coefficients we take $x \neq 0$ on the boundary of Ω . So if $x = x_1 c_1 + x_2 c_2$ then the integral representation of $K_\nu^{[3]}$ takes the explicit form

$$\begin{aligned} K_\nu^{[3]}(x_1 c_1 + x_2 c_2) &= \iint_{\Omega_2} \int_E \exp - \left[\frac{2 \det(z) + 2t \operatorname{tr}(z) - \|\xi\|^2}{2 \det(z) [t - (z^{-1} \xi, \xi)]} \right] \times \\ &\quad \times \exp - (x, z) \det(z)^{\nu-d-1} [t - (z^{-1} \xi, \xi)]^{\nu-d-1} dz d\xi dt \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

where $E = \{(t, \xi) \in \mathbb{R} \times A_{1/2} / t > (z^{-1} \xi, \xi)\}$ and $y = z + \xi + t c_3$. We change t by $t + (z^{-1} \xi, \xi)$. The integral over E becomes

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{A_{1/2}} e^{-1/t} \exp - \left[\frac{\operatorname{tr}(z) (z^{-1} \xi, \xi) - \frac{1}{2} \|\xi\|^2}{t \det(z)} \right] t^{\nu-d-1} d\xi dt \\ &= \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{A_{1/2}} e^{-1/t} e^{-(B, \xi, \xi)} t^{\nu-d-1} d\xi dt \end{aligned}$$

where the operator $B = \rho(v)$ with $v = \frac{\operatorname{tr}(z)}{2t \det(z)} z^{-1} - \frac{1}{2t \det(z)} e_0$. Note that $v \in \Omega_2$

because $\operatorname{tr}(v) = \frac{\operatorname{tr}(z)^2 - 2 \det(z)}{2t (\det(z))^2} > 0$ and $\det(v) = \frac{1}{4t^2 (\det(z))^2} > 0$. Also $\det B = \det(v)^d = 2^{-2d} t^{-2d} \det(z)^{-2d}$. But

$$\int_{A_{1/2}} e^{-(B, \xi, \xi)} d\xi = \pi^d (\det B)^{-1/2}$$

so

$$I = (2\pi)^d \det(z)^d \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-1/t} t^{\nu-1} dt = (2\pi)^d \Gamma(-\nu) \det(z)^d \quad (29)$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} K_\nu^{[3]}(x_1 c_1 + x_2 c_2) &= (2\pi)^d \Gamma(-\nu) \int_{\Omega_2} e^{-\frac{\text{tr}(z)}{\det(z)}} \exp - (x, z) \det(z)^{\nu-1} dz \\ &= (2\pi)^d \Gamma(-\nu) \int_{\Omega_2} e^{-\text{tr}(z^{-1})} \exp - (x, z) \det(z)^{\nu+d/2-d/2-1} dz \\ &= (2\pi)^d \Gamma(-\nu) K_{\nu+d/2}^{[2]}(x_1 c_1 + x_2 c_2) \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Theorem 1 *We have (according to (30),(12),(13),(14),(15) and the behaviour of J-solutions):*

$$K_\nu^{[3]}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^4 a_\nu^j J_\nu^{[3,j]} + b_\nu^j t_3^{-\nu} J_{-\nu}^{[3,j]}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} a_\nu^1 &= b_{-\nu}^1 = (2\pi)^{\frac{3d}{2}} \Gamma(-\nu) \Gamma(-\nu - \frac{d}{2}) \Gamma(-\nu - d) \\ a_\nu^2 &= b_{-\nu}^2 = (2\pi)^{\frac{3d}{2}} \Gamma(-\nu) \Gamma(-\nu - \frac{d}{2}) \Gamma(\nu + d) \\ a_\nu^3 &= b_{-\nu}^3 = (2\pi)^{\frac{3d}{2}} \Gamma(-\nu) \Gamma(\nu + \frac{d}{2}) \Gamma(\nu) \\ a_\nu^4 &= b_{-\nu}^4 = (2\pi)^{\frac{3d}{2}} \Gamma(-\nu) \Gamma(\nu + \frac{d}{2}) \Gamma(-\nu) \end{aligned}$$

References

- [1] H.Dib, *K-bessel functions in two variables*, IJMMS, vol. 2003 no14 (**2003**) 909-916.
- [2] H.Dib, *Fonctions de Bessel sur une algèbre de Jordan*, J.Math.Pures et Appl, 69 (**1990**) 403-448.
- [3] J.Faraut and A.Korányi, *Analysis on symmetric cones* (Clarendon Press, Oxford, **1994**)
- [4] J.Faraut and G.Travaglini, *Bessel functions associated with representations of formally real Jordan algebras*, J. Funct. Anal., 71 (**1987**) 123-141.
- [5] C.S.Herz, *Bessel functions of matrix argument*, Ann.of Math, 61 (3) (**1955**) 474-523

- [6] A.T.James, *A generating function for averages over the orthogonal group*, Proc. Roy. Soc. London, 229 A (**1955**) 367-375.
- [7] A.Korányi, *Transformation properties of the generalized Muirhead operators*, Colloquium Mathematicum , 60/61 (**1990**) 665-669.
- [8] N. H. Mahmoud, *Bessel Systems for Jordan Algebras of Rank 2 and 3*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, Vol. 234, No. 2, (**1999**), 372-390
- [9] R.J.Muirhead, *Systems of partial differential equations for hypergeometric functions of matrix argument* , Ann. of Math. Statistics , 41 (3) (**1970**) 991-1001.
- [10] R.J.Muirhead, *Aspects of multivariate statistical theory* (Wiley ,**1982**)